

IMMEDIATE**ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET**

Form 3-65 160c

(13)

SUBJECT: (Optional) Direction of Future PSG Policy

STAT STAT STAT	FROM	EXTENSION	NO.	DATE	TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)	DATE		OFFICER'S INITIALS	COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)
						RECEIVED	FORWARDED		
	C/PSG			14 NOV 1986					
					1. DD/PTS	17 NOV 1986	12/1	PP For CWP	<i>A fine idea from Bob</i> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 30px; margin: 5px;"></div> <i>- I believe we need an independent study</i> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 30px; margin: 5px;"></div>
STAT	EO				2.	12/2	12/3	mlw	
STAT	D/S				3.	12/8	12/8	ju	
STAT					4.				
STAT	C/PSG				5.	12/10			
					6.				<i>#3 DD/PTS has seen this and concurs.</i> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 30px; margin: 5px;"></div>
					7.				
STAT					8.				
STAT					9.				
STAT					10.				
					11.				<i>2 to 3: comment attached.</i> <div style="border: 1px solid black; width: 100px; height: 30px; margin: 5px;"></div>
					12.				
					13.				
					14.				
					15.				

C O N F I D E N T I A L

20 NOV 1986

MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Security

VIA: Deputy Director for Physical
and Technical Security25X1 FROM: [REDACTED]
Chief, Physical Security Group

25X1 SUBJECT: Direction of Future PSG Policy [REDACTED]

1. During the PTS Off-Site Conference, which was held 20-22 October 1986, the DD/PTS voiced his concern regarding the apparent absence of specific policy guidance relative to the future direction of the Physical Security Group (PSG). While the conference itself was most beneficial in helping to build "camaraderie" and a better understanding of current/future policies and plans of the various missions within PTS, the DD/PTS concluded by expressing a certain amount of uncertainty regarding PSG's "philosophical" physical security policy beyond 1986. It is evident the direction and future policy and plans of PSG must be set forth and be more clearly defined. [REDACTED]

2. An in-depth evaluation of current PSG policy, coupled with the projected needs/requirements of the various operating component customers, as perceived by them and PSG, is believed to be needed at this time. Although many existing PSG policies are clear cut and have been well established over the previous thirty-two years, as in most restrictive systems, waivers and exceptions have tended to erode some of the basic security principles and concepts of these policies. These exceptions and waivers have sometimes made it difficult to maintain consistent security policy in certain areas and has allowed our customers to point to these when they view restrictions as bureaucratic security obstacles. Flexibility in order to get the job done is good, but allowing our basic security principles to be subverted on some policies has caused our overall credibility to suffer. [REDACTED]

3. In order to establish and set-forth concise and concrete security policies of the future, we must conduct a

25X1 [REDACTED] [REDACTED]
C O N F I D E N T I A L

OS 6 1225

C O N F I D E N T I A L

thorough review and analysis of today's policies. PSG recommends that a study be undertaken to determine what is at the heart of today's policies and practices of physical security. The study should include a review of existing regulations and documents, interviews of senior and line officers within OS, and officials of every Agency component directly affected by physical security policy. Using the results of this proposed study, Physical Security Group, in concert with other PTS elements, can proceed to develop a plan that will serve PTS and OS for the next several years. [redacted]

4. PSG proposes that an individual from outside OS conduct the study which we believe would allow a fresh and unbiased assessment of current policies, while injecting new thoughts and approaches towards future policies. We have in mind a person whom we believe to be more than capable of handling this task, [redacted] a retired senior level DDS&T employee. Jack has been recently cleared as an Independent Contractor but we understand he will not be utilized by the requesting component at this time. He is well known to the management of OS because of his past association and positions within DDS&T. Jack is a scientist with a strong background in engineering and played a major role in one of the Agency's most important [redacted] DDS&T projects. He has a high regard for OS and would bring with him a keen and analytical research oriented mind. His extensive background and insights, coupled with excellent writing ability, could also help to provide a good perception as to how the Physical and Technical sides of PTS should move forward together to meet future requirements. [redacted]

5. With your concurrence, PSG will contact Jack regarding his willingness to perform the study and, if agreeable with him, we will begin the process to have him brought into PSG. [redacted]

CONCUR:

[redacted]
Director of Security

12/8/86
Date

SUBJECT: Direction of Future PSG Policy

OS/PTS/PSG/JB/cbb (14Nov86)

Distribution:

Orig - PSG

1 - D/S

1 - DD/PTS

1 - Subject

1 - Chrono

1 - OS Registry

1 - C/AS